From: McNally, Robert

To: <u>Bradbury, Steven</u>; <u>Monell, Marty</u>

Cc: Shimkin, Martha

Subject: Re: Inquiry re inerts rulemaking

**Date:** Wednesday, January 23, 2013 11:33:31 AM

Steve's message is on target.

From: Steven Bradbury
To: Marty Monell
Cc: Robert Mcnally

Date: 01/23/2013 11:15 AM EST

Subject: Re: Inquiry re inerts rulemaking

Bob can you confirm - I believe we discussed the challenge in time/resources with rule-making and exploring voluntary approaches as a first step so can get some positive outcomes in terms of info for the public and get some insights that could better inform a successful rule-making in the future.

-----

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services.

From: Marty Monell To: Steven Bradbury

Cc:

Date: 01/23/2013 06:47 AM EST

Subject: Fw: Inquiry re inerts rulemaking

What was told the enviros following PPDC?

----- Forwarded by Marty Monell/DC/USEPA/US on 01/23/2013 06:46 AM -----

From: Don Sadowsky/DC/USEPA/US

To: Marty Monell/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 01/18/2013 06:45 AM

Subject: Inquiry re inerts rulemaking

Happy New Year, Marty!

Claudia Polsky of California left me a message asking for an update on the inerts rule. When I call her back today, what should I tell her? IIRC, OPP told enviros that you are still planning to move forward, but that resource limitations make it difficult to spend resources on it at the moment, and that there is no schedule. Is that correct?

Thanks.

Don

Donald A. Sadowsky

Pesticides and Toxic Substances Law Office

Office of General Counsel

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. 20460

(202) 564-5638